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Abstract

Snow-related variables are analysed in the present paper in the period 1901–2010 on the basis of the ERA-20C dataset. Relationships between different snow characteristics, temperature and the NAO index are investigated on monthly, yearly and decadal scales for eight regions within Europe representing different climatic types (i.e. oceanic, continental, polar) to analyse the differences and similarities between them depending on the climatic conditions. According to our results, the ratio of snow (i.e. snowfall compared to total precipitation) can reach 1 in winter in the colder, northern regions, whereas it is about 0.6 in the continental areas of Central Europe, even in the coldest months. During a strong positive phase of NAO more snow falls in the northern regions of Europe due to the large-scale circulation characteristics. When a negative NAO phase occurs, the temperature and snowfall anomalies are the opposite in northern Europe. The highest temperature values generally occurred after 2000, and the snowfall amount was smaller in the first decades of the 21st century compared to the previous decades. The relationship between temperature and snowfall is the strongest in autumn in the colder regions; in spring in the continental areas and in winter in the oceanic climate.
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Introduction

Snow cover has impacts in the Earth System, as it plays an important role in surface energy fluxes (e.g. Ellis, A.W. and Leathers, D.J. 1998) via its high albedo, in atmospheric circulation (e.g. Cohen, J. and Rind, D. 1991) and in catchment hydrology (e.g. Rottler, E. et al. 2020) via delaying precipitation towards runoff. Furthermore, snow determines soil moisture (Petersky, R. and Harpold, A. 2018), soil water saturation in the first part of the growing season (Potopová, V. et al. 2016), evaporation (Milly, P.C.D. and Dunne, K.A. 2020), and freshwater availability (Fontrodona Bach, A. et al. 2018). It can also moderate soil temperature, hence snow acts as a ground insulator with the capability of protecting plants from frost damage (Oke, T.R. 1987). Therefore, it is important to analyse the past tendencies of snow-related variables, so we can possibly deal with the simulated future changes and make adaptation strategies in time.

The Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (IPCC 2019) claims that a general decline in low-elevation snow cover emerged in the recent decades according to the observations. The snow extent of the northern hemisphere had a maximum in the period 1950–1970; since 1980 a reduction can be seen. Snow mass also shows a negative trend based on the ensemble of six observation-based dataset (Mudryk, L. et al. 2020). According to the Global Historical
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Climatology Network Daily dataset (MENNE, M.J. et al. 2012), maximum snow depth decreased in many European stations during the period 1960–2015. This process is clearly caused by the poleward and upward elevation shift of snow due to higher temperature values (KUNKEL, K.E. et al. 2016). FONTRODONA BACH, A. et al. (2018) found that mean snow depth decreased more than extreme snow depth in Europe from 1951, and these trends accelerated after the 1980s. KUNKEL, K.E. et al. (2016) found that snowmelt starts earlier in spring, especially at higher latitudes due to the Arctic amplification (Zhang, J. 2005; HERNÁNDEZ-HENRÍQUEZ, M.A. et al. 2015).

In Europe, continental snow conditions may be associated with certain large-scale processes and/or pressure patterns. For instance, Kim, Y. et al. (2013) showed that the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) is related to European snow cover, especially in January and February: a negative NAO phase (i.e. when the meridional pressure gradient over the North Atlantic region is weaker than usual) results in a snow cover increase, while temperature is lower on average.

In those areas where a relatively large amount of snow accumulated during winter, as the weather gets warmer, it starts to melt and becomes part of the runoff, thus, snowmelt-induced floods can occur in spring. Floods can cause serious damages to the economy and they may also demand human lives; the flood-related losses have increased in recent years (KUNDEWICZ, Z.W. et al. 2014). Hydropower can also be affected, as river runoff conditions may change because of altered snowfall, snow cover and snowmelt characteristics (ROTTLER, E. et al. 2020).

Snow can have socio-economic effects as well, as in European mountains skiing and winter tourism has a great role. Due to climate change, winter tourism faces challenges in many regions as snow period and snow depth are likely to reduce in the future. This has quite a great impact on economy and employment, while hotels, restaurants, transportation, local businesses, sport equipment sale and rental are also affected. Using artificial snow can be a solution, but it also needs specific, appropriate weather conditions (SPANDRE, P. et al. 2019), moreover, the production cost and water usage increase in this case (e.g. MORENO-GENÉ, J. et al. 2018).

The response of snow-related variables to temperature and precipitation changes is not straightforward, it can depend on the local climatic conditions and elevation (BROWN, R.D. andMOTE, P.W. 2009; BROWN, I. 2019). There are several studies focusing on snow-related changes in smaller, specific domains (e.g. KLEIN, G. et al. 2016; POTOPOVA, V. et al. 2016; MARKE, T. et al. 2018), however, as far as we know, a comprehensive analysis of different climatic regions based on the same dataset and time period is missing. Instead of separate studies for specific regions and taking into account different time periods, our aims in this paper are (i) to analyse the trends of snow-related variables in the 20th century extended to 2010 and (ii) to investigate how snow is affected by global warming in the selected European regions. For this purpose, we use a unified methodology and a gridded database with time series that are long enough to detect long-term changes and processes. Regional anomalies can play important roles in determining local climates, therefore, subregions with different climatic characteristics are selected for this study. In order to reveal the causality of local and large-scale processes, the possible relationship between snow-related meteorological variables and the NAO index is also analysed with a special focus on the winter half-year.

Data and methods

Time series from the so-called ERA-20C dataset (POLI, P. et al. 2016) were used. ERA-20C is designed for climate applications, thus, it provides global atmospheric data for the period 1900–2010. ERA-20C is a reanalysis of ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) that assimilates surface pressure and marine wind observations collected in the Observation Feedback
Archive (OFA – Herschbach, H. et al. 2015) of ERA-20C. For the assimilation, ERA-20C applies a 24-hour 4D-Var analysis (Rabier, F. et al. 2000). ERA-20C is based on the IFS (Integrated Forecast System) Cycle 38r1 (ECMWF 2013), which contains 91 atmospheric vertical levels between the surface and the 0.01 hPa pressure level. The horizontal resolution of ERA-20C is 0.125° (Poli, P. et al. 2016), which equals to about 125 km along a meridional. Overall, ERA-20C is considered to be a reliable database generally, which is supported by the study of Wang, Y. et al. (2017) and Wegmann, M. et al. (2017) for instance. Ideally, it would be more precise to use observational data for the analysis, however, on the one hand, meteorological stations are not evenly distributed in space; on the other hand, Wegmann, M. et al. (2017) showed that reanalysis data are able to reproduce daily and sub-decadal snow depth variability well, but a general overestimation of snow depths occurs (e.g. in Russia). The main advantage of using reanalysis data in the snow analysis is that data are available on a regular grid. In addition, reanalysis data take into account satellite data too, which provide a better spatial coverage than interpolated station data.

The monthly means of daily means of the following variables of ERA-20C were downloaded from the public website of ERA-20C (https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/era20c-moda/levtype=sfc/type=an/): total precipitation, snowfall, runoff and 2 m temperature. The monthly mean of the NAO index was prepared on the basis of mean sea level pressure of the Icelandic Low and the Azores High for the period 1900–2010 using the ERA-20C database. First, normalised sea level pressures were calculated for the two areas (the region of the Icelandic Low is defined by 60–62.25°N; 33–38°W, and the region of the Azores High is defined by 31.75–34°N; 24–19°W). After that, the difference between them is determined for each year on a monthly scale.

As there are quite substantial differences between climate characteristics within Europe, eight subregions (Table 1 and Figure 1) were determined for this study, so the potential local trends of the selected meteorological parameters can be assessed in the period 1900–2010. We focused on snow-related vari-

### Table 1. Regions defined for the analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Topography criteria, m</th>
<th>Number of grid cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Scandinavia</td>
<td>65–70°N, 10–25°E</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Scandinavia</td>
<td>58–65°N, 5–15°E</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>60–65°N, 20–30°E</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>2,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpathian Basin</td>
<td>44–50°N, 16–27°E</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpathians</td>
<td>44–50°N, 16–27°E</td>
<td></td>
<td>616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>43–49°N, 5–15°E</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
<td>1,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Great Britain</td>
<td>55–60°N, 0–10°W</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Great Britain</td>
<td>50–55°N, 0–10°W</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Location of the selected regions: dark blue = northern Scandinavia; blue = southern Scandinavia; light blue = Finland; light green = northern Great Britain; dark green = southern Great Britain; red = Alps; brown = Carpathians; yellow = Carpathian Basin.
ables, therefore, mainly mountainous areas (e.g. Alps, Carpathians) were selected for the detailed analysis, however, two lowlands (i.e. Carpathian Basin, Finland) were also selected in order to compare elevation-related differences. We aim to cover the differences due to the climatic conditions, i.e. northern near-polar regions with colder characteristics (Scandinavia, Finland), and regions from the oceanic climate with wet conditions and relatively low temperature fluctuations (Great Britain), and from the continental climate with higher summer temperatures and precipitation maxima generally occurring in early summer (Central Europe: Alps, Carpathians, Carpathian Basin) were selected.

The calculations are based on monthly values for different time periods, namely, years and decades. Our analysis considers the spatial averages calculated for each variable on different time scales (i.e. month, year, decade, 30-year long period) for the selected regions. In order to measure the linear dependence between two variables, the Pearson correlation method was applied, and to determine significance at 0.05 level, p-values were calculated.

Results and discussion

The present analysis starts with the joint comparison of annual cycles in general and recent changes in monthly values of hydrometeorological variables. First, the snowfall ratio (i.e. the snowfall amount relative to total precipitation) is evaluated as a function of monthly mean temperature. The scatter plot diagrams of Figure 2 summarise all the monthly values for 1900–2010, where the last 10 years are highlighted with different symbols. The overall patterns clearly show the climatic differences of the selected regions, namely, the British Isles are the warmest among these regions in winter (the average temperature of the coldest month is higher than −5 °C) and Scandinavia is the coldest (where the mean temperature of winter months is often below −10 °C). Both in Scandinavia and in Finland (Figure 2, a–c), the snowfall ratio in the winter months and also in March usually exceeds 0.7, sometimes it is even close to 1, the theoretical maximum (which means that all precipitation falls as snow) and mean temperature varies between 0 °C and −20 °C due to the geographic locations, i.e. the vicinity of the polar Arctic. Snow occurred even in June, when the mean temperature was above 0 °C, but its ratio is rather low (< 0.2). In the Central European regions, namely in the Alps, in the Carpathians and in the Carpathian Basin, where the mean temperature is generally higher, snowfall mostly occurs only in winter, when its monthly ratio is greater than 0.6, but rarely reaches 1 (Figure 2, d–f). Snow also appears in November and March with a higher ratio (about 0.5), when temperature varies between 0 °C and 10 °C in these areas and in the Alps in October, too. In Great Britain, where the oceanic climate dominates with mild winters, clearly less snow is likely to occur: the snowfall ratio is usually less than 0.4 even in the winter time period of the year (Figure 2, g–h).

In northern Scandinavia (Figure 2, a), the smallest ratio of snow in January, April, November and December occurred in the last 10 years of the entire 1900–2010 period, whereas in southern Scandinavia (Figure 2, b), it occurred in April and November. In 2006, there were two minimum records of snowfall ratio (except for the summer and early autumn/late spring period): in January in northern Great Britain (0.02, Figure 2, g) and in December in Finland (0.15, Figure 2, c), which highlights the warming effects.

Analysing the monthly temperature values, the most record high values occurred after 2000, more specifically, from April to October in the Alps (Figure 2, e), in January and from May to July in the Carpathians (Figure 2, f), from April to July and in October in the Carpathian Basin (Figure 2, d). April, July and September were record warm in the British Isles (Figure 2, g–h), as was October in the northern regions and May in the southern parts of the domain. In the northern European regions, December was record warm after 2000 in Finland and in northern Scandinavia, where January, April
Fig. 2. Scatter plot diagrams of monthly temperature and snowfall ratio values in the selected regions. Each point represents a specific month from a year from the 20\textsuperscript{th} century (1900–2000) or from the 21\textsuperscript{st} century (2001–2010) indicated by ‘o’ and ‘×’, respectively. Different colours are used for the months as shown at the top.

and November also showed record high values (Figure 2, a–c). In southern Scandinavia, the highest temperature values in April and in November occurred in 2002 and 2005, respectively (Figure 2, b). The first decade of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century was warmer in several regions compared to the 20\textsuperscript{th} century (WMO 2021), while in the case of snowfall ratio, the minimum record in the northern regions is associated with the start and end of the snow-period. Blahusiaková, A. et al. (2020) also found a significant increase in air temperature and a decrease in snowfall fraction and snow depth in Central Europe.

Figure 3 summarises the correlation coefficients between the snowfall ratio and mean temperature. One expects a strong reverse relationship between snow amount
and temperature in winter: the lower the temperature, the higher the snowfall sum. Kim, Y. et al. (2013) concluded that overall snow cover in Europe expands by about 30 percent if the mean temperature decreases by 2 °C.

We found that the relationship between temperature and snowfall is significant at 0.05 level from October to May in all the selected regions (except in southern Great Britain, where it is true only from November to April due to the milder climatic conditions – see; Figure 3). The strongest relationship (with a negative correlation between –0.6 and –0.9) occurs in March, but it varies among the regions depending on the intra-annual temperature distribution and the mean temperature values themselves. So this spring maximum occurs earlier (in February) as we get closer to the ocean, e.g. in Great Britain, and it shifts to a later time (to April or even May) in the northern regions with colder climatic conditions. The correlation coefficients are close to zero when snow rarely occurs (if at all). Similarly, Henderson, G.R. and Leathers, D.J. (2010) found that temperature and snow cover extent show a significant relationship, especially in Central and Eastern Europe, however, snow coverage generally depends on specific weather conditions in a complex way (Martin, E. and Etchevers, P. 2005). According to Bednorz, E. (2004), the correlation between the annual number of days with a mean temperature below 0 °C during 1960–1993 and the annual number of snow cover days is about 0.46 in the European part of Russia, whereas 0.9 in the north-western parts of Poland and in the Baltic countries.

In the northern areas, the highest negative correlation occurs in autumn, but it is also stronger than –0.7 in most of the spring months (see Figure 3). In the Central European regions, the greatest correlation between temperature and the ratio of snow occurs in spring, which can be related to melting, too. The correlation exceeds –0.7 from November to March in the Alps, in the Carpathians and in the Carpathian Basin as well. In Great Britain, both in the northern and southern regions, February shows the strongest correlation, but also greater negative coefficient values occur in January and in March. To conclude: (i) in the colder, northern regions, the strongest relationship between temperature and snowfall ratio was found in autumn when the snow-season starts; (ii) in the Central European, continental areas temperature plays the most important role

Fig. 3. Correlation coefficients between the time series of monthly snowfall ratio and mean temperature, 1901–2010. Filled and empty symbols represent significant and non-significant coefficients, respectively.
in snow-melting, therefore, the highest negative correlation between the two variables emerged in spring. Finally, (iii) in the oceanic climate, the ratio of snow is originally less (apart from some extreme years), and it occurs only in winter, so the correlation with temperature was the strongest in winter.

After the monthly analysis, the regional average snowfall sums and the mean temperatures of the time period from October to May are presented for each decade in Figure 4. In northern Scandinavia, greater average snowfall sums (360–410 mm) were typical at the end of the 20th century, while smaller amounts of snow (320–330 mm on average) appeared in the first decade of the 21st century and in 1901–1940. Temperature also displays variation, but the 2001–2010 decade was warmer by 0.5 °C on average than the warmest decades (1931–1940 and 1991–2000 with −6.8 °C) in the 20th century. The highest average snowfall amount (414 mm) occurred in 1981–1990 in southern Scandinavia. In the first half of the 20th century, a warmer period emerged, hence the snowfall amount was less in this region also. Meanwhile, the warmest decade was 2001–2010 with a mean temperature of −3.7 °C between October and May (in the 20th century it was never above −4 °C on average). Finland, which is mainly lowland, shows similar tendencies to the Scandinavian regions located more towards West, but with lower snowfall amount values (maximum ~220 mm) due to the somewhat stronger continental effect and the less effective humidity transport of mid-latitude cyclones following a northern path (van Bebber, W.J. 1891). Focusing on temperature, the weather is milder than in northern Scandinavia, but the warm 2001–2010 period (>−2 °C) compared to the earlier decades can be clearly seen in this region as well.

In Central Europe, smaller amounts of snow and higher temperature values are typical because of the continental climate and the greater distance from the Arctic. In the Alps, the greatest average snowfall sums (from October to May), 236 mm and 237 mm, occurred in 1961–1970 and 1971–1980, respectively, when the temperature was only 1.0 °C and 1.2 °C on average, the lowest during the analysed period (Figure 4, d). In the first decade of the 21st century, temperature was record high (2.7 °C) compared to the 20th century and snowfall dropped to a lower amount (it was less than 190 mm). Other studies focusing on the Alps also found a decrease in the duration of snow cover, taking into account the second half of the 20th century (e.g. Valt, M. and Cianfarra, P. 2010; Klein, G. et al. 2016). This shortening occurred mainly because of the earlier snow-melt (by 5.8 days/decade on average).

In the Carpathians and in the Carpathian Basin, average snowfall (161 mm and 108 mm, respectively) was more substantial in the colder period of 1961–1970 compared to the other decades (Figure 4, e–f). The warming trends of the last few decades appear clearly: the average temperature was higher by 0.6 °C in 2001–2010 compared to the warmest decade of the 20th century in both Central European regions. We note that studies highlighting this region showed that snow cover days have been decreased in Austria (Marke, T. et al. 2018), Czechia (Potopová, V. et al. 2016) and Romania (Birsan, M-V. and Dumitrescu, A. 2014), while only minor changes in snow-related characteristics were detected in Poland (Falarz, M. 2004).

Because of the oceanic climate, the least snowfall occurs in Great Britain among the analysed regions and the mean temperature is the highest in the winter half-year (Figure 4, g–h). Similarly to Scandinavia, the minimum amount of snow occurred in the first half of the 20th century and in 2001–2010 here as well. Temperature values show a clear increase from the 1960s, but the changes are smaller compared to the Carpathian regions with strong continental influence instead of the oceanic proximity of the Great Britain regions. Brown, I. (2019) also showed that in the British Isles, the average yearly snow cover duration decreased in 1980–2010 compared to 1960–1990, especially in the northern locations.

According to Kim, Y. et al. (2013), a strong positive phase of the NAO (NAO+) indicates warmer and wetter conditions than normal in northern Europe and below-normal tempera-
Fig. 4. Long-term changes of average temperature and average snowfall sum from October to May, for each decade in the period 1901–2010.
ture and precipitation in the southern parts of the continent. Strong negative phases of the NAO (NAO−) usually result in opposite patterns. This is why we also investigated the relationship of temperature and snow-related variables with the NAO index for each region.

First, Figure 5 shows the regional connection between temperature and NAO. For this purpose, the NAO index and temperature values are both divided into three groups: the thresholds are defined by the 33rd and 67th percentiles (i.e. the lower and upper terciles) of the monthly data during the entire period 1900–2010, and then the intervals of the NAO index (NAO-1, NAO-2, NAO-3 phases) are paired with the regional temperature conditions (Tx: warm conditions, Tg: around mean conditions, Tn: cold conditions), thus, resulting in nine groups. The size of the circles is determined by the number of cases that belong to the corresponding group. Since the NAO dominantly appears in winter, we selected January for this part of the analysis.

As one can see, in the case of a positive NAO index, the temperature is usually higher than its 67th percentile (considering the period 1900–2010), especially in southern Scandinavia, in Finland and in southern Great Britain (> 20 cases; see Figure 5). When the NAO index does not indicate a specific phase, the regional temperature is roughly equally distributed among the three intervals; thus, no significant anomalies can be detected. In the case of a strong negative NAO index, lower temperature values are more frequent (> 14 cases), whereas positive temperature anomalies are rare, especially in southern Scandinavia, in Finland and in Great Britain (in southern Great Britain only three cases occurred during the 111 years).

Finally, we analysed the connection between snowfall amount (Sfx: much snow, Sfg: around average snow, Sfn: little snow) and the NAO index for January (Figure 6). Clearly more snowfall occurs during a strong NAO+ phase (NAO-3) in the northern parts of Europe (especially in southern Scandinavia and Finland, where the number of cases is 22) because of more precipitation and higher temperature values (but still below 0 °C). When the NAO index indicates a NAO-1
case, the snowfall amount is below normal in the Scandinavian regions (< 42 mm) and in Finland (< 32 mm). This is explained by the differences in large-scale circulation patterns during NAO+ and NAO−, namely, eastern European blocking (resulting in less precipitation and lower temperature) is more likely to occur during NAO− (which is NAO-1), when the polar jet is meandering with higher amplitude and the zonal flow is less strong compared to NAO+ (which implies NAO-3) with a less meandering polar jet and strong zonal flow from the West towards Scandinavia (and northern Europe in general), resulting in more precipitation and higher temperature but still below freezing in winter in the North (Trigo, R. et al. 2002).

A NAO+ phase indicates drier climatic conditions in Central Europe, so less snowfall is likely to occur in the Carpathian Basin, in the Carpathians and in the Alps. In Romania, all the investigated snow-related parameters display a strong negative correlation with the NAO index in winter, which is due to the fact that positive temperature and negative precipitation anomalies in Romania are associated with a high NAO index (Bojariu, R. and Paliu, D. 2001). On the contrary, when the NAO index shows a negative phase, the snowfall sum is above normal in the Carpathian Basin (> 26 mm), in the Carpathians (> 36 mm) and in southern Great Britain (> 6 mm), as more precipitation is related to the NAO.

Bednorz, E. (2004) calculated the correlation coefficients between snow cover in Eastern Europe and the NAO index and found a strong correlation in winter, which is statistically significant West of 30°E. Henderson, G.R. and Leathers, D.J. (2010) also concluded that there is a strong association (r = -0.591) between large snow-covered areas and the negative phase of the NAO in Europe, based on NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) satellite products.

### Conclusions

Snow plays an important role in many processes and conditions (e.g. surface energy flux, catchment hydrology, soil moisture,
freshwater availability, tourism), therefore, it is important to analyse its characteristics and changes. Relationships between snow-related meteorological variables, the temperature in eight European regions and the NAO index are investigated in this study for 1900–2010. The ERA-20C dataset was used for the calculations, and in order to take into account the different climatic types, mountainous and/or plain subregions with continental (Alps, Carpathian region), oceanic (Great Britain) and polar climates (Scandinavia, Finland) were selected for the evaluation. Temperature and snow-related variables were analysed on monthly, yearly and decadal scales, too. To investigate the relationship between the selected variables and the NAO index, categories were defined by the lower and upper terciles of monthly data.

On the basis of the presented analysis the following main conclusions can be drawn.

(i) Snowfall shows a strong relationship with temperature; the ratio of snowfall can reach 1 in the colder, northern regions in winter, while it is about 0.6 in Central Europe with a continental climate, even in the coldest months.

(ii) Correlation coefficients between the snowfall ratio and mean temperature are significant from October to May in all regions. The strongest relationship occurs in March, but it varies among the regions depending on the temperature. In the vicinity of the ocean (in Great Britain), this spring maximum occurs earlier, while in the northern regions, it shifts to April or even May.

(iii) The strongest relationship between temperature and snowfall occurred in autumn in the colder, northern regions; in spring in the Central European, continental areas; and in winter in the oceanic climate.

(iv) Snowfall amount was smaller in the first decades of the 20th and in the 21st century as well, compared to the middle of the 20th century, but temperature clearly shows the highest values after 2000.

(v) The effect of the NAO appears in snow characteristics, especially in the winter months because it affects both temperature values and precipitation amount. The connection is stronger in Scandinavia, in Finland, and in Great Britain than in the continental Central European regions. This can be explained by the large-scale circulation characteristics during different NAO phases with more substantial consequences for regional climatic conditions closer to the northern action centre of the NAO, i.e. the Icelandic low pressure centre.

Temperature clearly rose in the period 1900–2010, and according to climate model simulations, this trend will continue in the next decades even if the most optimistic scenario is taken into account (IPCC 2013). This will certainly affect snow-related characteristics, but the changes may not be linear. Therefore, our ultimate aim is to expand this study with further analyses for the future conditions on the basis of regional climate model outputs.
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